

# Minutes

# **Council Committee Meeting**

# 14 August 2012

# Attention:

This is a Committee which has only made recommendations to Council. No action should be taken on any recommendation contained in these Minutes. The Council resolution pertaining to an item will be made at the Ordinary Council Meeting next following this meeting.

# Table of Contents

	n of Opening	
Present a	nd Apologies and Leave Of Absence (Previously Approved)	4
1.	Addresses By Members of the Public (only for items listed	
	on the agenda)	5
2.	Disclosures of Financial Interest	6
3.	Disclosures of Interests Affecting Impartiality	6
3.1	Councillor Walker – PD33.12 - Draft North Hollywood	
	Precinct Land Use Strategy	6
4.	Declarations by Members That They Have Not Given Due	
	Consideration to Papers	6
5.	Confirmation of Minutes	
5.1	Committee Meeting 10 July 2012	
6.	Matters for Which the Meeting May Be Closed	
7.	Divisional Reports	
7.1	Planning & Development Report No's PD28.12 to PD36.12	
PD28.12	No. 9 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne – Retrospective	
_	Additions and Enclosure of Carport to Single House	8
PD29.12	No. 7 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne – Retrospective	
	Enclosure of Carport to Single House	10
PD30.12	No. 75 (Lot 137) Viking Road, Dalkeith – Retrospective	
	Enclosure of Carport to Single House	12
PD31.12	No. 97 (Lots 619-620) Tyrell Street, Nedlands – Proposed	
•	Retrospective Side Fence to Single House	
PD32.12	Draft Subiaco Wastewater Treatment Plant Odour Buffer	
	Local Planning Policy	18
CM05 12	CSRFF Applications Small Grants Summer Round 2012/13	
011100112	City of Nedlands and Allen Park Tennis Club	21
PD33.12	Draft North Hollywood Precinct Land Use Strategy	
PD34.12	Sunset Hospital	
PD35.12	•	
1 000.12	Monash Avenue and Verdun Street.	30
PD36.12	Review of the Proposed Local Law Relating to Parking and	
1 000.12	Parking Facilities.	34
7.2	Technical Services Report No TS17.12	
TS17.12	Investigation of Location Options for a Fenced Dog Park	
	South of Stirling Highway	36
7.3	Community & Organisational Development No's CM05.12	00
1.0		38
CM05 12	CSRFF Applications Small Grants Summer Round 2012/13	00
011100.12	City of Nedlands and Allen Park Tennis Club	38
CM06 12	MOU – City of Nedlands and Rotary – All Abilities Play	00
01100.12	Space, Beaton Park	30
7.4	Corporate & Strategy Report No's CP32.12 to CP37.12	40
CP32.12	Monthly Financial Report – June 2012	
CP32.12 CP33.12	Investment Report – June 2012	
	List of Accounts Paid – June 2012	
CP34.12 CP35.12		
CP35.12 CP36.12	Policy and Delegation Review Review of Wards and Representation	
OF 30.12	1. EVIEW UI VVAIUS ANU 1. EPIESENIAUUT	40

CP37.12	Dogs Local Law 2012	47
8.	Reports by the Chief Executive Officer	
8.1	Negotiation Process for City Of Nedlands Membership of	
	the WMRC	48
9.	Urgent Business Approved By the Presiding Member or By	
	Decision	52
10.	Confidential Items	52
Declaratio	on of Closure	52
	Confidential Items	52

#### City of Nedlands

# Minutes of a meeting of the Council Committee held in the Council Chambers, Nedlands on Tuesday 14 August 2012 at 7 pm.

#### Declaration of Opening

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 7.00 pm, and drew attention to the disclaimer below.

(NOTE: Council at its meeting on 24 August 2004 resolved that should the meeting time reach 11.00 p.m. the meeting is to consider an adjournment motion to reconvene the next day).

#### Present and Apologies and Leave Of Absence (Previously Approved)

Councillors	His Worship the Mayor, R M Hipkins	(Presiding Member)
	Councillor L J McManus Councillor I S Argyle Councillor S J Porter Councillor R M Binks Councillor B G Hodsdon Councillor K Walker Councillor T James Councillor N Shaw Councillor M L Somerville-Brown	Coastal Districts Ward Dalkeith Ward Dalkeith Ward Hollywood Ward Hollywood Ward Hollywood Ward Melvista Ward Melvista Ward Melvista Ward
Staff	Ms D BlakeActing DirectorMr P MicklesonDirector Planning &Mr A MelvilleActing DirectorMs M GranichActing	Chief Executive Officer or Corporate & Strategy & Development Services ctor Technical Services g Director Community & nisational Development Executive Assistant
Public	There were 8 members of the public present.	
Press	The Post Newspaper representative.	
Leave of Absenc (Previously Appr		Dalkeith Ward
Apologies	Councillor K E Collins Councillor N B J Horley	Coastal Districts Ward Coastal Districts Ward
Absent	Nil.	

## Disclaimer

No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the City of Nedlands for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. City of Nedlands disclaims any liability for any loss whatsoever and howsoever caused arising out of reliance by any person or legal entity on any such act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council or Committee meetings. Any person or legal entity who acts or fails to act in reliance upon any statement, act or omission made in a Council or Committee meeting does so at that person's or legal entity's own risk.

In particular and without derogating in any way from the broad disclaimer above, in any discussion regarding any planning application or application for a licence, any statement or intimation of approval made by a member or officer of the City of Nedlands during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not to be taken as notice of approval from the City of Nedlands. The City of Nedlands warns that anyone who has any application lodged with the City of Nedlands must obtain and should only rely on written confirmation of the outcome of the application, and any conditions attaching to the decision made by the City of Nedlands in respect of the application.

The City of Nedlands wishes to advise that any plans or documents contained within this agenda may be subject to copyright law provisions (*Copyright Act 1968, as amended*) and that the express permission of the copyright owner(s) should be sought prior to their reproduction.

It should be noted that Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against any persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may represent a copyright infringement.

# 1. Addresses By Members of the Public (only for items listed on the agenda)

Addresses by members of the public who have completed Public Address Session Forms will be invited to be made as each item relating to their address is discussed by the Committee.

Mr C Carey, 9 Cross Street, Swanbourne (spoke in support of the proposal)	Report PD28.12
Dr R Oates, 101 Tyrell Street, Nedlands (spoke in support of the administration recommendation)	Report PD31.12
Mr P Webb, Unit 2, 19 York Street, Subiaco (spoke against the recommendation)	Report PD31.12
Mr M Jones, 23 Swansea Street, Swanbourne (spoke in support of the recommendation)	Report CM05.12

### 2. Disclosures of Financial Interest

The Presiding Member reminded Councillors and Staff of the requirements of Section 5.65 of the *Local Government Act* to disclose any interest during the meeting when the matter is discussed.

There were no disclosures of Financial Interest.

### 3. Disclosures of Interests Affecting Impartiality

The Presiding Member reminded Councillors and Staff of the requirements of Council's Code of Conduct in accordance with Section 5.103 of the *Local Government Act*.

# 3.1 Councillor Walker – PD33.12 - Draft North Hollywood Precinct Land Use Strategy

Councillor Walker disclosed an impartiality interest in Report PD33.12 Draft North Hollywood Precinct Land Use Strategy. She disclosed that she is a resident of the area, and as a consequence, there may be a perception that her impartiality on the matter may be affected. She declared that she would consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

# 4. Declarations by Members That They Have Not Given Due Consideration to Papers

Nil.

#### 5. Confirmation of Minutes

#### 5.1 Committee Meeting 10 July 2012

Moved – Councillor Shaw Seconded – Councillor McManus

The minutes of the Council Committee held 10 July 2012 are confirmed.

CARRIED 9/-(Abstained: Cr Walker)

### 6. Matters for Which the Meeting May Be Closed

In accordance with Standing Orders and for the convenience of the public, the Committee is to identify any matter which is to be discussed behind closed doors at this meeting and that matter is to be deferred for consideration as the last item of this meeting.

Nil

# 7. Divisional Reports

Note: Regulation 11(da) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* requires written reasons for each decision made at the meeting that is significantly different from the relevant written recommendation of a committee or an employee as defined in section 5.70, but not a decision to only note the matter or to return the recommendation for further consideration.

## 7.1 Planning & Development Report No's PD28.12 to PD36.12

PD28.12	No. 9 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne –
	Retrospective Additions and Enclosure of
	Carport to Single House

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	Mr Chris Carey	
Owner	Mr Chris Carey	
Officer	Nick Bakker - Planning Officer	
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director	1 intertation	
Signature	1 Martin C	
File ref.	DA11/165	
Previous Item No's	Nil	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

Mr C Carey, 9 Cross Street, Swanbourne F (spoke in support of the proposal)

Report PD28.12

The Presiding Member granted Mr Carey a further 2 minutes.

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor McManus Seconded – Councillor James

Council approves an application for Retrospective Additions and Enclosure of Carport to Single House at No. 9 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne in accordance with the application and plans dated 10 May 2011 with the following condition:

1. any additional development, which is not in accordance with the original application or conditions of approval, as outlined above, will require further approval by Council.

Lost 4/5 (Against: Mayor Hipkins Crs. Porter Walker Shaw & Somerville-Brown) (Abstained: Cr. Binks) Moved – Councillor Somerville-Brown Seconded – Councillor Shaw

## That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

#### CARRIED ON THE CASTING VOTE 5/4 (Against: Crs. McManus Argyle Hodsdon & James) (Abstained: Crs. Binks & Walker)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council approves an application for Retrospective Additions and Enclosure of Carport to Single House at No. 9 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne in accordance with the application and plans dated 10 May 2011 with the following conditions:

- 1. the door is to be removed from the street elevation of the existing carport, (as marked in red on the attached plans);
- 2. the door on the existing carport is to be removed within 42 days of the date of this decision; and
- 3. any additional development, which is not in accordance with the original application or conditions of approval, as outlined above, will require further approval by Council.

PD29.12	No. 7 (Lot 201) Cross Street, Swanbourne –
	Retrospective Enclosure of Carport to Single House

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	Mr N George & S Sofoulis	
Owner	Mr N George & S Sofoulis	
Officer	Nick Bakker - Planning Officer	
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director	A interface	
Signature	Manuel	
File ref.	DA12/263	
Previous Item	Nil	
No's		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor McManus Seconded – Councillor Argyle

Council:

1. approves the application for Retrospective Enclosure of a Carport to Single House at No. 7 (Lot 200) Cross Street, Swanbourne in accordance with the application and plans dated 6 July 2012.

Lost 4/5

(Against: Mayor Hipkins Crs. Porter Walker Shaw & Somerville-Brown) (Abstained: Cr. Binks)

Moved – Councillor Walker Seconded – Councillor Shaw

## That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

#### CARRIED ON THE CASTING VOTE 5/4 (Against: Crs. McManus Argyle Hodsdon & James) (Abstained: Crs. Binks & Walker)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council:

- 1. refuses the application for Retrospective Enclosure of a Carport to Single House at No. 7 (Lot 200) Cross Street, Swanbourne in accordance with the application and plans dated 6 July 2012 for the following reasons:
  - a. the door on the carport with a nil front setback does not meet the Performance Criteria or Acceptable Development Criteria of the RCodes; and
  - b. the enclosed carport with a nil front setback is not in keeping with the surrounding streetscape and the proposal will not be orderly and proper planning.
- 2. instructs the removal of the door on the carport within 42 days of the date of this decision.

PD30.12	No. 75 (Lot	137) Viking Road, Dalkeith –
	Retrospective House	Enclosure of Carport to Single

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	Ms Marilyn Tan	
Owner	Ms Marilyn Tan	
Officer	Laura Sabitzer - Planning Officer	
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director	A interface	
Signature	1 Manuel	
File ref.	DA12/221 : VI3/75-02	
Previous Item	Nil	
No's		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

Regulation 11(da) – Councillors agreed to allow the lattice to remain as it assists growth of plants.

Moved – Councillor Shaw Seconded – Councillor Porter

Council approves an application for Retrospective Enclosure of Carport to Single House at No. 75 (Lot 137) Viking Road, Dalkeith in accordance with the application and plans dated 6 June 2012 with the following conditions:

- 1. all stormwater from the development which includes permeable and non-permeable areas shall be contained on site by draining to soak-wells of adequate capacity to contain runoff from a 20 year recurrent storm event; and soak-wells shall be a minimum capacity of 1 m<sup>3</sup> for every 80 m<sup>2</sup> of calculated surface area of the development; and
- 2. any additional development, which is not in accordance with the original application or conditions of approval, as outlined above, will require further approval by Council.

#### Advice Notes specific to this approval:

1. all downpipes from guttering shall be connected so as to discharge into drains which shall empty into a soak-well and each soak-well shall be located at least 1.8 m from any building, and at least 1.8 m from the boundary of the block.

<u>Put Motion</u> Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Binks

That the motion be put.

PUT MOTION CARRIED 7/1 (Against: Cr. Porter) (Abstained: Mayor Hipkins & Cr Argyle)

The motion was put and

CARRIED 7/3 (Against: Mayor Hipkins Crs. McManus & Walker)

Committee Recommendation

Council approves an application for Retrospective Enclosure of Carport to Single House at No. 75 (Lot 137) Viking Road, Dalkeith in accordance with the application and plans dated 6 June 2012 with the following conditions:

- 1. all stormwater from the development which includes permeable and non-permeable areas shall be contained on site by draining to soak-wells of adequate capacity to contain runoff from a 20 year recurrent storm event; and soak-wells shall be a minimum capacity of 1 m3 for every 80 m2 of calculated surface area of the development; and
- 2. any additional development, which is not in accordance with the original application or conditions of approval, as outlined above, will require further approval by Council.

Advice Notes specific to this approval:

1. all downpipes from guttering shall be connected so as to discharge into drains which shall empty into a soak-well and each soak-well shall be located at least 1.8 m from any building, and at least 1.8 m from the boundary of the block.

#### Recommendation to Committee

Council approves an application for Retrospective Enclosure of Carport to Single House at No. 75 (Lot 137) Viking Road, Dalkeith in accordance with the application and plans dated 6 June 2012 with the following conditions:

- 1. the lattice on the south and west sides of the carport shall be removed within 42 days from the date of this decision;
- all stormwater from the development which includes permeable and non-permeable areas shall be contained on site by draining to soak-wells of adequate capacity to contain runoff from a 20 year recurrent storm event; and soak-wells shall be a minimum capacity of 1 m<sup>3</sup> for every 80 m<sup>2</sup> of calculated surface area of the development; and
- 3. any additional development, which is not in accordance with the original application or conditions of approval, as outlined above, will require further approval by Council.

Advice Notes specific to this approval:

1. all downpipes from guttering shall be connected so as to discharge into drains which shall empty into a soak-well and each soak-well shall be located at least 1.8 m from any building, and at least 1.8 m from the boundary of the block.

PD31.12	No. 97 (Lots 619-620) Tyrell Street, Nedlands –	
	Proposed Retrospective Side Fence to Single House	

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	Peter Webb & Associates	
Owner	Ms Makara Pen	
Officer	Matt Stuart – Senior Statutory Planning Officer	
Director	Peter Mickleson – Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director	A interface	
Signature	Manuel	
File ref	TY1/97-02 : DA12/235 : M12/15720	
Previous Item	Nil	
No's		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

Dr R Oates, 101 Tyrell Street, Nedlands Report PD31.12 (spoke in support of the administration recommendation)

<u>Non-Elector</u> Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Walker

That Mr Webb, a non-elector of the City be permitted to address the meeting.

CARRIED 9/1 (Against: Cr. James)

Mr P Webb, Unit 2, 19 York Street, Subiaco (spoke against the recommendation)

Report PD31.12

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor Walker Seconded – Councillor James

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

<u>Put Motion</u> Moved – Councillor James Seconded – Councillor McManus

#### That the motion be put

PUT MOTION CARRIED 6/3 (Against: Crs. Argyle Porter & Somerville-Brown) (Abstained: Mayor Hipkins)

The motion was put and

CARRIED 9/-(Abstained: Cr. Somerville-Brown)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council refuses an application for a retrospective side fence to a single house at No. 97 (Lot 619-620) Tyrell Street, Nedlands, in accordance with the application and plans dated 12 June 2012, for the following reasons:

- 1. the perspex / plastic material is dangerous by not maintaining adequate sightlines within the 1.5 m truncation area, in accordance with Council Policy 6.19 (Fill and Fencing) clause 'Fencing Abutting Vehicle Access Points';
- the perspex / plastic material is dangerous by not maintaining adequate sightlines within the 1.5 m truncation area, in accordance with *City of Nedlands Fencing Local Law 2007*, clause 14.1 (Maintenance and Protection of Fences);

3. the perspex / plastic material is not a listed material in accordance with the *City of Nedlands Fencing Local Law 2007*, clause 16 (Fencing Materials). Furthermore, the material is not accepted via the discretion of clause 15 (General Discretion of the Local Government) due to it adversely affecting the safety and convenience of the southern neighbour and general public;

- 4. the fence has not been finished to an acceptable standard, in accordance with the *City of Nedlands Fencing Local Law 2007*, clause 14.1 (Maintenance and Protection of Fences), namely:
  - a. unfinished paint on the southern side of the lattice;
  - b. bare masonry (maxi-bricks) not being rendered and painted to match the existing fence;
- 5. the external appearance of the fence adversely affects the amenity of the surrounding area, in accordance with Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2) clause 5.5.1 (Preservation of Amenity); and
- 6. the development is not orderly and proper planning, in accordance with Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2) clause 6.5.1 (Determination by Council).

Advice Notes specific to this proposal:

- 1. The Notices served upon the landowner in April 2012 remain current. These notices require the landowner to bring the structures into compliance with the previous planning approvals, within specific timeframes which are now overdue.
- 2. As the obstructed visual sightline is a safety issue for the general public, the landowner is urged to bring the structure into compliance without delay.

PD32.12	Draft Subiaco Wastewater Treatment Plant Odour
	Buffer Local Planning Policy

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	N/A	
Owner	N/A	
Officer	Michael Swanepoel - Senior Strategic Planning	
	Officer	
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director	A interface	
Signature	1 Manuel	
File ref.	TPN/130	
Previous Item No's	D61.10	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer is involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act (1995)</i> .	

Councillor Porter & Mr A Melville, Acting Director Technical Services left the room at 8.32 pm.

# Regulation 11(da) – Councillors agreed to refer this back to Administration for review and clarification.

Moved – Councillor Shaw Seconded – Councillor Somerville-Brown

That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted. (Printed below for ease of reference)

Mr A Melville, Acting Director Technical Services returned to the room at 8.34 pm.

Councillor Porter returned to the room at 8.35 pm.

Councillor Hodsdon left the room at 8.36 pm and returned at 8.37 pm.

Mr M Cole, Acting Chief Executive Officer left the room at 8.48 pm and returned at 8.49 pm.

Councillor Walker left the room at 8.50 pm and returned at 8.51 pm.

<u>Put Motion</u> Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor James

That the motion be put

PUT MOTION Lost 4/5 (Against: Crs. McManus Porter Binks Shaw & Somerville-Brown) (Abstained: Mayor Hipkins)

The substantive motion was put and

Lost 4/6 (Against: Mayor Hipkins Crs. Porter Binks Hodsdon Walker & James)

<u>Refer Back</u> Moved – Councillor James Seconded – Councillor Walker

That this matter be referred back to Administration for review and clarification of the following:

- 1. Boundary Setbacks;
- 2. Building Provisions;
- 3. Buffer Zone;
- 4. Permitted Uses; and
- 5. Consultation occurs including the Water Corporation to address points 1-4.

Councillor McManus left the room at 9.11 pm.

Councillor Binks left the room at 9.12 pm and returned at 9.13 pm.

Councillor McManus returned to the room at 9.14 pm.

CARRIED 6/4 (Against: Crs. McManus Argyle Binks & Shaw)

#### Committee Recommendation

That this matter be referred back to Administration for review and clarification of the following:

- 1. Boundary Setbacks;
- 2. Building Provisions;
- 3. Buffer Zone;
- 4. Permitted Uses; and
- 5. Consultation occurs including the Water Corporation to address points 1-4.

Recommendation to Committee

Council endorses the Draft Subiaco Wastewater Plant Odour Buffer Local Planning Policy for public advertising as per attachment 1.

Brought forward Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Binks

That Report CM05.12 be brought foraward.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 10/-**

	CSRFF Applications Small Grants Summer
CM05.12	Round 2012/13 City of Nedlands and Allen Park
	Tennis Club

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands	
Owner	City of Nedlands	
Officer	Paul Goodley – Senior Community Development	
	Officer (Recreation)	
Director	Marion Granich – A/Director Community &	
	Organisational Development	
Director	e 1	
Signature		
File ref	CMS/190-11	
Previous Item	Nil	
No's		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

Mr M Jones, 23 Swansea Street, Swanbourne Report CM05.12 (spoke in support of the recommendation)

Ms N Borowicz, Executive Assistant left the room at 9.20 pm and returned at 9.22 pm.

Regulation 11(da) - Councillors agreed to consider a contribution to funding for the Allen Park Tennis Club in the Mid Year Budget Review to emphasise their support of the club.

Moved – Councillor McManus Seconded – Councillor Binks

#### Council:

- 1. advises the Department of Sport and Recreation that it has ranked and rated the application to the Community Sport and Recreation Facilities Fund Small Grants Summer round 2012/2013 as follows:
  - a. City of Nedlands, Highview Park Irrigation Upgrade: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Rating); and

- Allen Park Tennis Club Clubhouse Renovations: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Raking).
- 2. endorses the above projects as CSRFF applications on the conditions that the applicants:
  - a. obtain all necessary approvals, including Council planning and building approval as required; and
  - b. receive DSR funding approval and complete the project as per the CSRFF requirements.
- 3. contribution to funding for the Allen Park Tennis Club to be listed for consideration in the Mid Year Budget Review.

The Presiding Member granted an adjournment for 10 minutes for the purposes of a refreshment break.

The meeting adjourned at 9.34 pm and reconvened at 9.44 pm with the following people in attendance:

Councillors	His Worship the Mayor, R M Hi Councillor L J McManus Councillor I S Argyle Councillor S J Porter Councillor R M Binks Councillor B G Hodsdon Councillor K Walker Councillor T James Councillor N Shaw Councillor M L Somerville-Brow	Coastal Districts Ward Dalkeith Ward Dalkeith Ward Hollywood Ward Hollywood Ward Hollywood Ward Melvista Ward Melvista Ward
Staff	Ms D Blake Acting D Mr P Mickleson Director Planni	Acting Chief Executive Officer Director Corporate & Strategy ng & Development Services g Director Technical Services Director Community & Organisational Development Executive Assistant
Public	There were 3 members of the p	public present.
Press	The Post Newspaper represent	tative.

### The motion was put and

#### CARRIED 8/1 (Against: Cr. Argyle) (Abstained: Mayor Hipkins)

#### **Committee Recommendation**

#### Council:

- 1. advises the Department of Sport and Recreation that it has ranked and rated the application to the Community Sport and Recreation Facilities Fund Small Grants Summer round 2012/2013 as follows:
  - a. City of Nedlands, Highview Park Irrigation Upgrade: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Rating); and
  - b. Allen Park Tennis Club Clubhouse Renovations: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Raking).
- 2. endorses the above projects as CSRFF applications on the conditions that the applicants:
  - c. obtain all necessary approvals, including Council planning and building approval as required; and
  - d. receive DSR funding approval and complete the project as per the CSRFF requirements.
- 3. contribution to funding for the Allen Park Tennis Club to be listed for consideration in the Mid Year Budget Review.

#### Recommendation to Committee

Council:

- 1. advises the Department of Sport and Recreation that it has ranked and rated the application to the Community Sport and Recreation Facilities Fund Small Grants Summer round 2012/2013 as follows:
  - a. City of Nedlands, Highview Park Irrigation Upgrade: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Rating); and
  - b. Allen Park Tennis Club Clubhouse Renovations: Well planned and needed by the municipality (A Raking).
- 2. endorses the above projects as CSRFF applications on the conditions that the applicants:
  - a. obtain all necessary approvals, including Council planning and building approval as required; and
  - b. receive DSR funding approval and complete the project as per the CSRFF requirements.
- 3. does not provide financial support to the Allen Park Tennis Club.

PD33.12	Draft North Hollywood Precinct Land Use Strategy	
Committee	14 August 2012	
Council	28 August 2012	
Applicant	City of Nedlands	
Owner	Various	
Officer	Strategic Planning Department	
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development	
	Services	
Director Signature	1 michten	
File ref.	TPN/127-04	
Previous Item No's	Nil	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report	
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in	
	accordance with the provisions of the Local	
	Government Act (1995).	

#### **Councillor Walker – Impartiality Interest**

Councillor Walker disclosed that she is a resident of the area, and as a consequence, there may be a perception that her impartiality on the matter may be affected. She declared that she would consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor Shaw Seconded – Councillor Binks

That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED 6/2 (Against: Mayor Hipkins Cr. Binks) (Abstained: Crs. Walker & James)

#### **Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee**

Endorses the proposed draft North Hollywood Strategy for public consultation.

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development
	Services
Director	Peter Mickleson - Director Planning & Development
	Services
Director	A intratator
Signature	1 Machiner C
File ref.	M12/16264
Previous Item	Nil
No's	INII
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

Regulation 11(da) – Councillors included subdivision as they believe it to be an important factor of maintaining the integrity of the site.

Moved – Councillor Shaw Seconded – Councillor Somerville-Brown

That the Council advises the Premier of Western Australia that the City of Nedlands wishes to have the following matters considered in any future use or development of the former Sunset Hospital site.

1. Integrity of the Site:

The whole of the site should be retained as an A Class Reserve in one lot in public ownership, with no subdivision permitted. This would not preclude some parts of the site being leased;

2. Public Access:

It is important that public access is maintained within and through the site including to the reserve adjoining the Swan River. This would not preclude some parts of the site being closed to the public;

3. Land Use:

It is acknowledged that some form of alternative land use is likely to occur in order to allow the site to be available for public access and that this alternate land use should be sympathetic to the existing amenity of the site and the surrounding neighbourhood;

4. Heritage:

Any buildings that have significant heritage values either in their own right or in combination with other buildings on the site should be retained;

5. Site Coverage:

Site coverage should not exceed that which currently exists in order to maintain the amenity of the site and the surrounding residential neighbourhood;

6. Traffic:

Allowing public access to and use of the site is likely to increase vehicle movements to and from the site. Any other non-public uses of the site should not increase traffic on surrounding streets by more than the vehicle movements that existed when the hospital was in operation; and

7. Built Form:

In general terms the current built form, including maximum heights and setbacks, should not be increased. Council would prefer to see a reduction in building bulk by removing a number of the later additions which connect buildings. This would enhance the amenity of the site by providing view shafts between buildings and from the surrounding neighbourhood through the site.

> CARRIED 9/1 (Against: Cr. Argyle)

### Committee Recommendation

That the Council advises the Premier of Western Australia that the City of Nedlands wishes to have the following matters considered in any future use or development of the former Sunset Hospital site.

1. Integrity of the Site:

The whole of the site should be retained as an A Class Reserve in one lot in public ownership, with no subdivision permitted. This will not preclude some parts of the site being leased;

2. Public Access:

It is important that public access is maintained within and through the site including to the reserve adjoining the Swan River. This would not preclude some parts of the site being closed to the public;

3. Land Use:

It is acknowledged that some form of alternative land use is likely to occur in order to allow the site to be available for public access and that this alternate land use should be sympathetic to the existing amenity of the site and the surrounding neighbourhood;

4. Heritage:

Any buildings that have significant heritage values either in their own right or in combination with other buildings on the site should be retained;

5. Site Coverage:

Site coverage should not exceed that which currently exists in order to maintain the amenity of the site and the surrounding residential neighbourhood;

6. Traffic:

Allowing public access to and use of the site is likely to increase vehicle movements to and from the site. Any other non-public uses of the site should not increase traffic on surrounding streets by more than the vehicle movements that existed when the hospital was in operation; and

7. Built Form:

In general terms the current built form, including maximum heights and setbacks, should not be increased. Council would prefer to see a reduction in building bulk by removing a number of the later additions which connect buildings. This would enhance the amenity of the site by providing view shafts between buildings and from the surrounding neighbourhood through the site.

#### Recommendation to Committee

That the Council advises the Premier of Western Australia that the City of Nedlands wishes to have the following matters considered in any future use or development of the former Sunset Hospital site.

1. Land Use:

It is acknowledged that some form of alternative land use is likely to occur in order to allow the site to be available for public access and that this alternate land use should be sympathetic to the existing amenity of the site and the surrounding neighbourhood;

2. Public Access:

It is important that public access is maintained within and through the site including to the reserve adjoining the Swan River. This would not preclude some parts of the site being closed to the public;

3. Site Coverage:

Site coverage should not exceed that which currently exists in order to maintain the amenity of the site and the surrounding residential neighbourhood;

4. Building Bulk:

In general terms the current building bulk, including maximum heights and setbacks, should not be increased. Council would prefer to see a reduction in building bulk by removing a number of the later additions which connect buildings. This would enhance the amenity of the site by providing view shafts between buildings and from the surrounding neighbourhood through the site;

5. Heritage:

Any buildings that have significant heritage values either in their own right or in combination with other buildings on the site should be retained; and

#### 6. Traffic:

Allowing public access to and use of the site is likely to increase vehicle movements to and from the site. Any other non-public uses of the site should not increase traffic on surrounding streets by more than the vehicle movements that existed when the hospital was in operation.

PD35.12	Review of the verge parking trial, Smyth Road
	between Monash Avenue and Verdun Street

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Luke Marsden – Parking Strategy Coordinator
Director	Peter Mickleson – Director Planning & Development
	Services
Director	A includer
Signature	Manuel
File ref.	SM1-03
Previous Item	Item 7.6 – 2 August 2011
No's	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

Regulation 11(da) – Councillors agreed to include parking restrictions along Kinninmont Avenue as there are no restrictions at present and when restrictions are placed on Smyth Road and Langham Street then people would move to Kinninmont Avenue to park instead.

Moved – Councillor Binks Seconded – Councillor Hodsdon

# Council

- 1. approves that the six (6) month parking trial restrictions become permanent in:
  - a. Smyth Road from Monash Avenue to Verdun Street which provides for two (2) hour parking restriction "2P Monday to Friday 8 am to 5 pm, Verge only" on the eastern side of Smyth Road and that "No Verge Parking" on the western side of Smyth Road; and
  - Langham Street, between Gordon Street and Karella Street, which provides for two (2) hour parking, "2P Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" restriction on the east side and "No Parking, Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" on the west side;

parking "2P Monday to Friday 8 am - 5 pm" restriction on east side and "No Parking, Monday to Friday 8 am -5pm" on the west side

- 2. changes to the respective roads be made to facilitate the parking in accordance with attachment 2 and attachment 3; and
- 3. refers all project costs for the recommended parking proposal to the 2013/14 budget for consideration.

<u>Amendment</u> Moved - Councillor Walker Seconded - Councillor James

That clause 1 a. be amended as follows:

a. Smyth Road from Monash Avenue to the chicane which provides for two (2) hour parking restriction "2P Monday to Friday 8 am to 5 pm, Verge only" on the eastern side of Smyth Road and that "No Verge Parking" on the western side of Smyth Road; and

Councillor Shaw left the room at 10.28 pm and returned at 10.29 pm.

AMENDMENT CARRIED 5/4 (Against: Crs. McManus Argyle Shaw & Somerville-Brown) (Abstained: Cr. Porter)

The substantive motion was put and

CARRIED 9/1 (Against: Cr. McManus)

#### Committee Recommendation

#### Council

- 1. approves that the six (6) month parking trial restrictions become permanent in:
  - a. Smyth Road from Monash Avenue to the chicane which provides for two (2) hour parking restriction "2P Monday to Friday 8 am to 5 pm, Verge only" on the eastern side of Smyth Road and that "No Verge Parking" on the western side of Smyth Road; and
  - Langham Street, between Gordon Street and Karella Street, which provides for two (2) hour parking, "2P Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" restriction on the east side and "No Parking, Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" on the west side;
  - c. Kinninmont Avenue, between Karella Street and Carrington Street, which provides for two (2) hour parking "2P Monday to Friday 8 am - 5 pm" restriction on east side and "No Parking, Monday to Friday 8 am -5pm" on the west side
- 2. changes to the respective roads be made to facilitate the parking in accordance with attachment 2 and attachment 3; and
- 3. refers all project costs for the recommended parking proposal to the 2013/14 budget for consideration.

#### Recommendation to Committee

#### Council

- 1. approves that the six (6) month parking trial restrictions become permanent in:
  - a. Smyth Road from Monash Avenue to Verdun Street which provides for two (2) hour parking restriction "2P Monday to Friday 8 am to 5 pm, Verge only" on the eastern side of Smyth Road and that "No Verge Parking" on the western side of Smyth Road; and
  - Langham Street, between Gordon Street and Karella Street, which provides for two (2) hour parking, "2P Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" restriction on the east side and "No Parking, Monday to Friday 8 am – 5 pm" on the west side;
- 2. changes to the respective roads be made to facilitate the parking in accordance with attachment 2 and attachment 3; and
- 3. refers all project costs for the recommended parking proposal to the 2013/14 budget for consideration.

Review of the Proposed Local Law Relating to
Parking and Parking Facilities
14 August 2012
14 August 2012
28 August 2012
City of Nodlanda
City of Nedlands
City of Nedlands
Peter Mickleson – Director Planning & Development
Services
Peter Mickleson – Director Planning & Development
Services
A internet and
Michael
ĹEG/003-07/01
PD21.12 – 26 June 2012
14.3 – 27 April 2011
T24.10 – 14 December 2010
13.2 - 22 June 2010
7.7 - 18 May 2010
CP41.09 - 13 October 2009
14.2 - 11 August 2009
No officer involved in the preparation of this report
had any interest which required it to be declared in
accordance with the provisions of the Local
Government Act (1995).

Mr A Melville, Acting Director Technical Services left the room at 10.46 pm and returned at 10.47 pm.

Councillor Hodsdon left the room at 10.49 pm and returned at 10.51 pm.

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor James Seconded – Councillor Hodsdon

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

(Abstained: Cr. Somerville-Brown)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council approves the proposed Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law as shown in attachment 1 in accordance with the statutory requirements, Part 3, Division 2, section 3.12 of the *Local Government Act* 1995.

# 7.2 Technical Services Report No TS17.12

# **TS17.12** Investigation of Location Options for a Fenced Dog Park South of Stirling Highway

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Andrew Dickson – Manager Parks Services
Director	Andrew Melville – Acting Director Technical Services
Director	$\sim 10$ · · · · ·
Signature	All
	4273
File ref.	CRS/011-05, CRS/011-04, C08/937, D08/15612
Previous Item	Item 15.2 – Council Meeting – 26 August 2008;
No's	Item 12.3 Report T1.09 – Council Meeting – 24
	February 2009
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

Regulation 11(da) – Councillors agreed to amend the attached survey to obtain further feedback from local residents.

Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Binks (pro forma)

# That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted subject to the survey being amended as attached.

Councillor Walker left the room at 11.01 pm and returned at 11.02 pm.

Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Walker

# That the meeting continue after 11.00 pm.

CARRIED 8/2 (Against: Crs. Porter & Somerville-Brown)

CARRIED 5/3 (Against: Crs. Argyle James & Shaw) (Abstained: Crs. Porter & Somerville-Brown)

#### **Committee Recommendation**

#### Council:

- 1. accepts DC Cruickshank Reserve, Nedlands Park and Paul Hasluck Reserve as the three (3) potential locations for investigating the establishment of a fenced dog park south of Stirling Highway;
- 2. approves the attached amended Survey for distribution to residents surrounding the three (3) potential locations to ascertain the level of community support for such a facility; and
- 3. requests that Administration report back to Council with the results of the survey and its investigations, together with a recommendation for the progression and location of a fenced dog park south of Stirling Highway.

Recommendation to Committee

Council:

- 1. accepts DC Cruickshank Reserve, Nedlands Park and Paul Hasluck Reserve as the three (3) potential locations for investigating the establishment of a fenced dog park south of Stirling Highway;
- 2. approves the attached Survey for distribution to residents surrounding the three (3) potential locations to ascertain the level of community support for such a facility; and
- 3. requests that Administration report back to Council with the results of the survey and its investigations, together with a recommendation for the progression and location of a fenced dog park south of Stirling Highway.

7.3 Community & Organisational Development No's CM05.12 to CM06.12

Please note: This item was brough forward. (Please see page 20)

CM05.12	CSRFF Applications Small Grants Summer Round 2012/13 City of Nedlands and Allen Park Tennis Club
Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012
Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Paul Goodley – Senior Community Development
	Officer (Recreation)
Director	Marion Granich – A/Director Community &
	Organisational Development
Director	
Signature	
File ref	CMS/190-11
Previous Item	Nil
No's	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

# PLEASE NOTE: This Item has been withdrawn

# **CM06.12** MOU – City of Nedlands and Rotary – All Abilities Play Space, Beaton Park

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Marion Granich – A/Director Community &
	Organisational Development
Director	Marion Granich – A/Director Community &
	Organisational Development
Director	
Signature	
File ref	CMS/591
Previous Item	Nil
No's	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

## 7.4 Corporate & Strategy Report No's CP32.12 to CP37.12

CP32.12	Monthly Financial Report – June 2012
Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012
Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Rajah Senathirajah – Manager Finance
Director	Darla Blake – A/Director Corporate & Strategy
Director	D QII
Signature	Delake
File ref.	Fin/072-17
Previous Item	Nil
No's	INII
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

#### **Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.**

Moved – Councillor Binks Seconded – Councillor McManus

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED 7/-(Abstained: Crs. Porter Hodsdon & Walker)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council receives the Monthly Financial Report for June 2012. (Refer to Attachments)

# **CP33.12** Investment Report – June 2012

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Rajah Senathirajah – Manager Finance
Director	Darla Blake – A/Director Corporate & Strategy
Director	5 PIA
Signature	ADLake
File ref.	Fin/071-07
Previous Item No's	Nil
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the proparation of this report
Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in
IIIICIESI	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

## **Regulation 11(da) - Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.**

Moved – Councillor Binks Seconded – Councillor McManus

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED 9/-(Abstained: Cr. Walker)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council receives the Investment Report for the period ended 30 June 2012 (refer to attachment).

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Officer	Rajah Senathirajah – Manager Finance
Director	Darla Blake – A/Director Corporate & Strategy
Director	PRI. ha
Signature	NDIANE
File ref.	Fin/072-17
Previous Item No's	Nil
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act (1995)</i> .

## **Regulation 11(da) - Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.**

Moved – Councillor Binks Seconded – Councillor Shaw

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED 9/-(Abstained: Cr. Walker)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council receives the List of Accounts Paid for the month of June 2012. (Refer to Attachment)

<b>CP35.12</b> Policy and Delegation Review
---

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Coordinator	Phoebe Huigens – Acting Coordinator Corporate &
	Strategy
Director	Darla Blake – A/Director Corporate & Strategy
Director	6 QIA
Signature	Delake
File ref	CRS/055
Previous Item	Nil
No's	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the preparation of this report
Interest	had any interest which required it to be declared in
	accordance with the provisions of the Local
	Government Act (1995).

Regulation 11(da) – Councillors agreed to refer back "Rights Of Way – Upgrading" Policy for further work.

Moved – Councillor Walker Seconded – Councillor Porter

- 1. Council revokes the following policies to be combined in the new Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations policy:
  - a. Donations Policy 3.60;
  - b. Nedlands Primary Schools & Pools Policy 10.28;
  - c. Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund Policy 10.18;
  - Subsidies & Donations League of Home Help Policy 10.29;
  - e. Community Grants (approved 26 October 2010); and
  - f. Subsidy for the Sterilisation of Domestic Cats (approved 14 December 2010)
- 2. Council approves the following policies:
  - a. Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations; and
  - b. Social Media
- 3. Refers back the following policy:
  - a. Rights Of Way Upgrading

- 4. Council revokes the following delegations:
  - a. 3C Requests for Financial Assistance;
  - b. 10E Community Grants; and
  - c. 10F Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund
- 5. Council approves the following amended delegation:

**Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations:** 

- Request for Financial Assistance;
- Community Grants; and
- Sponsorship for Youth Initiatives

CARRIED 6/3 (Against: Crs. McManus Hodsdon & Shaw) (Abstained: Cr. James)

**Committee Recommendation** 

- 1. Council revokes the following policies to be combined in the new Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations policy:
  - a. Donations Policy 3.60;
  - b. Nedlands Primary Schools & Pools Policy 10.28;
  - c. Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund Policy 10.18;
  - d. Subsidies & Donations League of Home Help Policy 10.29;
  - e. Community Grants (approved 26 October 2010); and
  - f. Subsidy for the Sterilisation of Domestic Cats (approved 14 December 2010)
- 2. Council approves the following policies:
  - a. Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations; and
  - b. Social Media
- 3. Refers back the following policy:
  - a. Rights Of Way Upgrading
- 4. Council revokes the following delegations:
  - a. 3C Requests for Financial Assistance;
  - b. 10E Community Grants; and
  - c. 10F Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund

5. Council approves the following amended delegation:

**Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations:** 

- Request for Financial Assistance;
- Community Grants; and
- Sponsorship for Youth Initiatives

Recommendation to Committee

- 1. Council revokes the following policies to be combined in the new Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations policy:
  - a. Donations Policy 3.60;
  - b. Nedlands Primary Schools & Pools Policy 10.28;
  - c. Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund Policy 10.18;
  - d. Subsidies & Donations League of Home Help Policy 10.29;
  - e. Community Grants (approved 26 October 2010); and
  - f. Subsidy for the Sterilisation of Domestic Cats (approved 14 December 2010)
- 2. Council approves the following policies:
  - a. Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations; and
  - b. Social Media
  - c. Rights Of Way Upgrading
- 3. Council revokes the following delegations:
  - a. 3C Requests for Financial Assistance;
  - b. 10E Community Grants; and
  - c. 10F Sponsorship of Youth Initiatives Fund
- 4. Council approves the following amended delegation:

Council Provided Grants, Subsidies & Donations:

- Request for Financial Assistance;
- Community Grants; and
- Sponsorship for Youth Initiatives

# **CP36.12** Review of Wards and Representation

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands		
Owner	City of Nedlands		
Officer	Phoebe Huigens		
Director	Darla Blake, A/Director Corporate and Strategy		
Director	J PAA		
Signature	ADLake		
File ref.	BND/001-03		
Previous Item No's	CP01.12		
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act (1995)</i> .		

#### Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor Binks Seconded – Councillor Hodsdon

#### That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED 8/-(Abstained: Crs. Walker & James)

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council resolves to retain current ward boundaries and representation.

CP37.12 Dogs	Local Law 2012
--------------	----------------

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands		
Owner	City of Nedlands		
Officer	Phoebe Huigens		
Director	Darla Blake, Director Corporate and Strategy		
Director	D Q A		
Signature	Delane		
File ref.	LEG/024		
Previous Item No's	N/A		
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act (1995)</i> .		

Please note that under section 3.12(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995,* the Presiding Officer is to read aloud the purpose and effect of the proposed local law.

Purpose: The purpose of the local law is to provide for the regulation, control and management of dogs and issues relating to dogs within the municipality.

Effect: The effect of the local law is to control activities and manage dogs within the municipality.

Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation adopted.

Moved – Councillor Walker Seconded – Councillor Shaw

That the Recommendation to Committee is adopted.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 10/-

**Committee Recommendation / Recommendation to Committee** 

Council adopts the proposed *City of Nedlands Dogs Local Law* 2012 as contained in Attachment 1.

8. Reports by the Chief Executive Officer

# 8.1 Negotiation Process for City Of Nedlands Membership of the WMRC

Committee	14 August 2012
Council	28 August 2012

Applicant	City of Nedlands
Owner	City of Nedlands
Acting CEO	Michael Cole A/CEO
Acting CEO Signature	null
File ref.	ORN/016-21
Previous Item No's	TS11.12 - 26 June 2012
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report had any interest which required it to be declared in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act (1995)</i> .

**Regulation 11(da) – Not applicable – Recommendation Adopted.** 

Moved – Councillor Hodsdon Seconded – Councillor Shaw

- 1. Council endorse the process and proposed timeline for the negotiations between the City of Nedlands and the Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) member Councils for membership of the WMRC; and
- 2. Councillor McManus, Councillor Binks and the Acting Chief Executive Officer be appointed as the City of Nedlands representatives on the Core Negotiating Group.

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 10/-

**Committee Recommendation** 

- 1. Council endorse the process and proposed timeline for the negotiations between the City of Nedlands and the Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) member Councils for membership of the WMRC; and
- 2. Councillor McManus, Councillor Binks and the Acting Chief Executive Officer be appointed as the City of Nedlands representatives on the Core Negotiating Group.

#### Recommendation to Committee

- Council endorse the process and proposed timeline for the negotiations between the City of Nedlands and the Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) member Councils for membership of the WMRC; and
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_ and the A/Chief Executive Officer be appointed as the City of Nedlands representatives on the Core Negotiating Group.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek Council endorsement of the negotiation process and proposed timeline for membership of the WMRC and to appoint 2 elected members and the Acting Chief Executive Officer as the Council's representatives on the Core Negotiating Group.

## Strategic Plan

- KFA 5: Governance
  - 5.6 Ensure compliance with statutory requirements and guidelines.
  - 5.7 Provide Elected Members and Staff with training to assist them in complying with their legislative and implied roles and responsibilities.
  - 5.8 Establish and actively manage a range of partnerships with government, private and not-for-profit sectors.
- KFA 7: Economic Development
  - 7.3 Work collaboratively with WESROC and State Government agencies in developing and implementing regional strategies.

#### Background

At its meeting on 26 June 2012, Council resolved that:

Council:

- 1. Enters into negotiations with the WMRC; and
- 2. Negotiations are completed and reported back to Council by 31 December 2012.

The Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) has as its member Councils the Town of Claremont, Town of Cottesloe, Town of Mosman Park, Shire of Peppermint Grove and City of Subiaco. The

WMRC operates the Waste Transfer Station at Brockway Road in Shenton Park, as well as providing waste education services on behalf of the members and the City of Nedlands (the City).

The WMRC was formed in 1989 from a predecessor organisation, the Refuse Disposal Zone ("the Zone") which ran the Brockway Tip. The City was a member of the Zone and withdrew from the Zone upon closure of the Brockway Tip at the end of 1990.

On withdrawing from the Zone, the City also withdrew its proportion of the Zone's equity. The City has not joined the WMRC since, and has disposed of its waste at a range of sites including the Brockway Waste Transfer Station for many years now.

All WMRC member Councils have endorsed the commencement of negotiations with the City in relation to membership of the WMRC.

## **Proposal Detail**

The proposal involves the City entering into negotiations for its membership of the WMRC. All WMRC member Councils have agreed to the negotiation process and proposed timeline. Should the City wish to proceed, Council will be required to endorse the negotiation process and proposed timeline and appoint 2 elected members and the Acting Chief Executive Officer as the Council's representatives on the Core Negotiating Group.

The negotiation process and proposed timeline are attached to this report.

#### Consultation

Required by legislation:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
Required by City of Nedlands policy:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂

Consultation type:

It is proposed to appoint 2 elected members and the Acting Chief Executive Officer as the City representatives on the Core Negotiating Group. The City representatives will consult with and report back to Council as required.

#### Legislation

The establishment of regional local governments, including the requirements for a constitution, an establishment agreement and related matters in covered in Division 4 – Regional Local Governments of the *Local Government Act 1995*. ie Sections 3.61 to 3.68.

#### **Budget/financial implications**

Budget:

Within current approved budget:	Yes	No 🖂
Requires further budget consideration:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂

Financial:

The negotiations will incur legal and facilitation costs. The negotiations may also incur costs to value the WMRC. The WMRC has agreed to cover all costs associated with the negotiations.

Any additional advice, including independent legal advice that may be sought by the City of Nedlands will not be covered by the WMRC.

One of the ultimate outcomes of the negotiations will be a proposed equity contribution payable by the City. The membership contribution could range from no cost to potentially \$3 million.

#### **Risk Management**

By joining the WMRC, the City of Nedlands will have access to a governance structure that can achieve strong regional projects for the benefit of the western suburbs as a whole. This in turn will enable the City to more effectively and efficiently deliver ratepayers services that are common to the region.

#### Discussion

All WMRC Councils have endorsed the commencement of negotiations. However, further thought needed to be given to how the negotiations are to be conducted. It was initially envisaged that the WMRC CEO negotiate with the City's Acting CEO, and seek endorsement of the negotiated outcome from the WMRC member Councils and this Council.

The WMRC CEO has since discussed the process for negotiation with the Acting CEO and the CEOs of all other WMRC member Councils. These discussions have led to a more structured process in which all Councils are involved in the negotiations, and in particular, where the negotiations include elected members.

A structured process reduces the risk of an iterative process where an outcome, ostensibly agreed by the WMRC CEO and the City's Acting CEO, is rejected upon consideration at one or more of the Councils. Whilst an iterative process might eventually reach a negotiated outcome, it is unlikely to do so before 31 December 2012.

The proposed process is contained in Attachment 1, and uses the services of an external facilitator to work with all of the Councils to draw out goals, concerns, alternatives and relationships associated with the negotiation. The facilitator then manages the negotiation.

A core negotiating group of six is proposed for the negotiation, with three from the City of Nedlands and three representing the WMRC member Councils. It is recommended that the three representatives for the City be the Acting CEO and two elected members, with the elected members being both experienced in such negotiations and able to maintain strong lines of communication back to Council.

The first step in the process is for all Councils to endorse the proposed process and nominate representatives to the member Council liaison group. To achieve the nominated timeframe, this needs to be completed by the end of August.

## Conclusion

The City of Nedlands and all WMRC members Councils are being asked to endorse the negotiation process and proposed timeline so that negotiations can commence. Council's endorsement of the negotiation process and proposed timeline and appointment of two elected members and the Acting Chief Executive Officer to the Core Negotiating Group is recommended.

#### Attachments

1. WMRC negotiation process and proposed timeline

# 9. Urgent Business Approved By the Presiding Member or By Decision

Nil.

#### 10. Confidential Items

Nil.

# Declaration of Closure

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 11.18 pm.

#### Attachment to Item 7.2

## Committee 14 August 2012

# TS17.12 - Investigation of Location Options for a Fenced Dog Park South of Stirling Hwy

## Amended Survey

City of Nedlands

Survey – Establishing a Fenced Dog Park South of Stirling Highway

#### nedlands.wa.gov.au

The City of Nedlands is investigating establishing a fenced dog park in one of its reserves south of Stirling Highway. The facility would be comparable to the fenced dog park (Carrington Park) north of Stirling Highway on the corner of Carrington St and Broome St, Nedlands. As part of its investigations, the City is surveying local residents living south of Stirling Highway on their level of support for such a facility. The survey has been designed to be completed within 5 minutes. Please answer the questions below by ticking one box for each question.

1. Do you ow	n a dog?					
Yes □ No □ (go to question 5)						
2. Is your dog	ı a guide dog re	egistered for as	sisting people	e who are blind?		
Yes 🗆			No 🗆			
3. Do you exe	ercise your dog	?				
Yes 🗆			No 🗌 (go to	question 5)		
4. Where do	you exercise yo	our dog?				
Local Park	Local A	rea 🗌 🛛 Wide	er District 🗌	Other $\Box$		
5. How would	you rate the n	eed for a fence	d dog park so	outh of Stirling Hi	ghway?	
Very Low	□ Low □	Neutral 🗌	High 🗆	Very High $\Box$	Unsure 🗌	
6. If you own	a dog or are th	inking of getting	g one, would	you use this facil	lity if provided or a	n alternative?
Yes 🗆		No 🗆		Alterna	ative $\Box$	
1		ocation for the peserve – Beatric		g park? (please c	ircle)	
(b) Nedlar	ds Park – Bruc	e Street				
(c) Paul H	asluck Reserve	, Esplanade				
(d) No pre	ference			ž		
				stablishing a fend s if you wish to.	ced dog park withir	n a reserve south
		9				
				e to complete thi	s survey. paid envelope pro	vided

# Attachment to Item 8.1

# Committee 14 August 2012

Negotiation Process for City of Nedlands Membership of the WMRC

# **1** Setting the scene

#### **1.1** Presentation

Each Council to receive a presentation from WMRC Administration that sets out:

- History
- Current Establishment Agreement
- WMRC Strategic Direction
- Explanation of DiCOM
- The process to be taken in negotiations

#### 1.2 Workshop

Externally facilitated workshop with each Council to document the parameters for negotiation. Purpose is to clarify what Councils would like from the negotiation, to identify obstacles and rapidly come to common ground that is likely to gain agreement from all parties.

Structure in terms of:

- **Goals**. What does the Council want to achieve through the negotiation? What would you like to see the WMRC achieve?
- **Concerns**. What worries you about the negotiation? What do you want to avoid as an outcome? What worries you about the WMRC?
- Alternatives. If we can't agree on membership, what alternatives do you have? Are these alternatives good or bad? Does it matter if we can't reach agreement? Does failure to reach agreement cut you our of future agreements?
- Relationships. What is our history together like? How do we deal with this history?

This information will be useful for representatives empowered to negotiate terms.

#### 1.3 DiCOM tour

All Councillors to be offered a tour of DiCOM, preferably guided by key figures from AnaeCo (perhaps Patrick Kedemos, CEO and MD of AnaeCo)

#### **1.4 Facilitator**

Facilitator to be appointed and paid for by the WMRC. Facilitator preferably independent from all of the participants, and where this is not possible, to clearly state previous work.

#### **1.5** Valuation

WMRC has engaged AVP Valuers to value the WMRC assets on the basis of fair market value (assets are currently valued on historical cost). This valuation could be useful in the context of the negotiation.

# **2** Negotiations

#### 2.1 Decision making

The negotiations are governed by two key considerations:

- 1. Formally, each member Council and Nedlands needs to individually endorse the negotiated outcome, as each Council individually signs the new Establishment Agreement.
- 2. The decision to endorse the negotiated outcome is made at each Council, where most of the Councillors will not have the depth of understanding of how the negotiations progressed.

Care must be taken in the negotiations to avoid these two elements leading to the negotiations being "hijacked" by a small group of Councillors within a single Council. Rules on how to agree on an outcome need to be set early. Councils need to be kept abreast of the negotiations as they occur.

#### 2.2 Member Council liaison group

The negotiation group will need regular liaison back to member Councils to confirm negotiating positions. Suggest a liaison group comprised of:

- Three representing each of the member Councils
- Each member Council should be represented by two Councillors and the CEO.
- Might be worthwhile having each Mayor/President on the liaison group

#### 2.3 Core negotiating group

To expedite negotiations, form a core negotiating group of six. Comprised of:

- Three representing the member Councils (as a group), to be selected from liaison group.
- Three representing Nedlands.
- Each group should be two Councillors and a CEO.

Members should be sufficiently empowered and trusted by their respective groups.

Negotiation to be facilited externally, with negotiations to be completed in an intensive period of 2-3 meetings over a period of 2-3 weeks. Administration of the negotiating group to be conducted by WMRC CEO, who will also answer questions on relation to the implications of negotiated points for the operation of the WMRC. The WMRC CEO will not participate in negotiations.

#### 2.4 Reaching agreement

As negotiations get closer to agreement, it may be wise to consolidate the two groups to be sure that there are no outstanding issues to resolve. This will provide each of the member Councils the opportunity to verify the negotiations against their own expectations.

#### 2.5 Converting

Attention must be given to converting the negotiated outcome, ultimately a consensus of representatives of each Council, into agreement at each Council. Conversion cannot be assured, especially if some representatives are seen as having "betrayed" their Council's wishes.

Conversion will be assisted by the external facilitator speaking to the negotiated outcome when it comes to each Council, potentially by presenting at committee. The WMRC CEO might assist here.

# **3** Timelines

#### 3.1 End date

The Nedlands resolution requires the outcome of negotiations to be presented for consideration by 31 December 2012. The latest meeting to achieve this end date will be held on 11 December 2012, with committee on 4 December 2012 and agendas closing in mid November.

#### 3.2 Council meetings

All Councils with the exception of the WMRC have their Council meetings in the last week of the month, and a committee meeting either one or two weeks prior. The Town of Claremont has a Council meeting two weeks prior in lieu of a committee meeting. The Town of Cottesloe has its meeting on the Monday, and all others have their meetings on the Tuesday. To make each agenda, a report needs to be ready very early in the month, typically within the first week of the month.

The WMRC has a meeting every two months, with the meeting being on the first Thursday of the month. Remaining WMRC meetings before the end date are in August, October and December.

Month	Activity		
July	<ul> <li>All CEOs agree to broad process and commit to proposed timeline</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>WMRC accepts quotations for facilitator</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Commence drafting of amended Establishment Agreement</li> </ul>		
August	<ul> <li>WMRC presentations on process to Councils</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>All Councils agree to process and nominate participants for liaison group</li> </ul>		
	(negotiating group in the case of Nedlands)		
	Facilitator appointed		
September	Council workshops		
	<ul> <li>Negotiations conducted</li> </ul>		
October	<ul> <li>Negotiations conclude, liaison and negotiating groups consolidated to ensure</li> </ul>		
	agreement		
	<ul> <li>Complete drafting of deed of membership and amended Establishment</li> </ul>		
	Agreement		
	<ul> <li>Update reports on negotiations to respective Councils, intended to draw out</li> </ul>		
	any further concerns at Council level		
November	<ul> <li>Resolve outstanding issues raised at Council meetings</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Finalise legal drafting</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Final proposed negotiated agreement considered by all Councils</li> </ul>		
December	Float month – used if necessary		

#### 3.3 Indicative sequencing

After the Councils agree, the amended Establishment Agreement is sent to the Minister for Local Government for his endorsement.