



Dear Council Member

The adjourned General Meeting of Electors will be recommencing on Thursday 14 December 2017 from 6pm at Adam Armstrong Pavilion, Beatrice Road, Dalkeith.

Greg Trevaskis  
Chief Executive Officer

---

In accordance with Section 5.27 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, the Order of Business will be as follows:

**1. Opening and Welcome**

His Worship the Mayor will declare the meeting open at 6pm.

*(The advertisement calling the meeting was published in the POST newspaper together with notices displayed at the Administration Centre and Libraries.)*

**2. Introduction of Elected Members and Staff**

**3. Procedural Matters**

His Worship the Mayor will outline the procedures of the meeting.

**4. Record of Attendance / Apologies from Electors, Guests and Members of the Press**

**5. Contents of the Annual Report for the 2016/2017 Financial Year to be considered.**

- Questions Submitted on the Annual Report by Electors Prior to the Meeting.

The Chief Executive Officer will respond to questions that were submitted in writing prior to the Meeting.

- Other Questions on the Annual Report.

Any other questions on the Annual Report may be asked here.



## 6. General Business

- **Questions Submitted by Electors Prior to the Meeting.**

### Local Planning Scheme 3

- a) Ms Nicole Duncan, 8 Viewway, Nedlands

What steps will the City take to ensure that any scheme finally adopted by the WAPC does not dangerously increase density and traffic flows surrounding Nedlands Primary School, thus compromising child safety, in the way that the Draft Local Planning Scheme 3 clearly does?

- b) Ms Kellie Husluck (Clarity, Director), 360 Rokeby Road, Subiaco

How will the City of Nedlands work with concerned residents, ratepayers and the Nedlands Primary School to amend the Draft LPS 2 to ensure the final town planning scheme meets the needs of residents and the school in the long term?

- c) Mr Scott Ellis, 26 Viewway, Nedlands

What steps will the Council take to ensure that Draft Local Planning Scheme No 3 is amended so that it does not degrade the amenity and substantially alter the character of the City?

On a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is very bad, and 2 is the present situation, just how disastrous will the traffic at the Broadway/Stirling Highway intersection become if Draft Local Planning Scheme 3 is imposed on the electors of Nedlands?

- d) Mr & Ms Des & Caroline Marsh, 4 Bedford Street, Nedlands

What will the City do to address the inadequacies within LPS 3 with respect to the significant increases in densities between adjacent lots within the designated transition zones between RAC0 and R60 lots?

More specifically, what safeguards will be in place to ensure that significant differences in density on lots of equal area do not result in poor development outcomes with respect to bulk and scale, privacy, traffic flows and street scape?



e) Mr Alan Nicoll, Viewway, Nedlands

Why has increased dwelling density in the City of Nedlands under Draft Local Planning Scheme 3 not been achieved more fairly by focusing increasing dwelling density along established transport networks?

f) Ms Trina Russell, 17 Kingsway, Nedlands

What rationale did the West Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) put forward for altering the draft Local Planning Scheme submitted by the City of Nedlands to the WAPC, in favour of Draft Local Planning Scheme 3.

g) Ms Bronwyn Stuckey, 26 Kingsway, Nedlands

We acknowledge the over-arching Government policy to increase the density of dwellings in the Perth metropolitan area including in the City of Nedlands. Under Draft LPS3 the burden of the increased dwelling density in the City of Nedlands has fallen inequitably on the Kingsway and Viewway portions of the Melvista Ward rather than being distributed fairly and more evenly throughout the City. What steps can the City make to prevent this occurring and what steps will the City take to prevent this occurring?

h) Mr Ken Eastwood, 7 Alexander Place, Dalkeith

In what ways has the City of Nedlands been judged to have failed to meet the density requirements imposed on Councils by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) in order to achieve urban consolidation and better integration of land uses?

As a result of the government demand for increased density has the WAPC given the Council any guidelines as to how the various Government Departments propose to cope with the increased density demand on services such as water supply, electricity and sewerage?

What steps does Council now plan to take to ensure that the character and lifestyle of our City are maintained?

What assurance will Council offer the Nedlands Community that it will consult with them and represent their views and interests in the strongest possible manner in all future similar negotiations?

i) Ms Elaine Jacoby, 29 Jutland pde (3 minutes)

Subdivision of land in Nedlands is the topic.



- j) Mr Colin Latchem, 2 Sherwood Road, Dalkeith (3 minutes)

Make a statement on behalf of UEA as Chair

**Response regarding Local Planning Scheme 3 from the City of Nedlands**

The City of Nedlands does not know the rationale behind the changes made to the Council's draft Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3) by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) because the matter was considered behind closed doors and any supporting reports have not been made public.

The Mayor has requested a meeting with the WAPC in order to better understand the reasons for the changes but, to date, has had no response.

The draft LPS 3 is open for public submissions from 1 December until the end of March. Open days will be held in February and March 2018 to discuss any specific matters residents may have. All electors are encouraged to make a submission so that the Council has a clear understanding of community views. Once the submission period has closed, all submissions will be assessed and Council will make recommendations to the WAPC for modifications to the draft LPS 3 that reflect the best planning outcomes for Nedlands.

However, it needs to be noted, that the current legislated process does not allow for the Council to make the final decision. The final decision as to the content of LPS3, and any density provisions it contains, rests with the WAPC and ultimately the Minister for Planning.

If you go to the website [yourvoice.nedlands.wa.gov.au](http://yourvoice.nedlands.wa.gov.au) you can enter your property address and find out more details about how the draft LPS3 may affect you.

• **Motions to be considered regarding the Local Planning Scheme 3**

1. Motion

Mr Alan Nicoll, 15 Viewway, Nedlands & Ms Trina Russell, 17 Kingsway, Nedlands

Noting that the draft Local Planning Scheme No 3 (Draft LPC 3), as prepared by the WA Planning Commission exposes young children attending Nedlands Primary School to excessive and unnecessary traffic risks, substantially degrades the amenity and character of the City, and is unfair to existing residents.

“The City does all things necessary to ensure that any draft LPC 3 is free from these significant defects.”



2. Motion  
Mr Ken Eastwood, 7 Alexander Place, Dalkeith

**“Council does what is best for the residents and ratepayers of the City of Nedlands, irrespective of the demands of the Western Australian Planning Commission.”**

## **Tressillian Arts Centre**

- a) Ms Christine Harrison, 4A Dalkeith Road, Nedlands

I am a regular user of the Tressillian Facility. The facilities at Tressillian are cramped and run down and the building requires much ongoing maintenance. Parking is also an ongoing problem for both patrons and staff.

What plans does the Council have to relocate this important community facility to a more suitable location, or indeed to rebuild the present facility?

### **Response from the City of Nedlands**

There are no plans in Council’s forward work program to rebuild Tressillian for the next 10 years. Council will continue to maintain Tressillian recognizing it is an older building. Parking around Tressillian continues to be monitored but no additional off-street parking is planned.

The City has expressed an interest with the State Government to explore the possible use of Building U at Sunset Hospital for Arts purposes. Discussions have continued for the past two years but the State Government has not provided any decision to enable the proposal to be advanced.

## **Carrington Dog Park**

- a) Dave Paterson, 2 Portland Street, Nedlands

I want option 1.

### **Response from the City of Nedlands**

The second stage of the Community Consultation closed on 4<sup>th</sup> December 2017. The results of the consultation are the subject of a CEO report to Council on the 19<sup>th</sup> December 2017.



- **Motions to be considered regarding the State Underground Power Program:**

1. Motion  
Mr Guy Churchill, 67 Hardy Road

**“That the current underground power policy be reviewed and updated to reflect the change in approach to funding underground power outside the State Underground Power Program (SUPP), to be completed in 2018.”**

2. Motion  
Mr Guy Churchill, 67 Hardy Road

**“We request council to provide an indicative timeframe and roll out schedule, to be completed this current financial year, for the completion of underground power across all remaining areas of Hollywood.”**

3. Motion  
Mr Guy Churchill, 67 Hardy Road

**“In accordance with the 26 April 2017 council minutes, we request council to disclose the full analysis of all options referred to therein, including the sale of assets, not just the option of borrowing as stated in the 26 July 2017 minutes.”**

4. Motion  
Mr Guy Churchill, 67 Hardy Road

**“Under the current assessment criteria where Hollywood is unlikely to get funding under SUPP, we request council to fund underground power for our area through asset sales in order to avoid unnecessary rate increases on all ratepayers.”**

5. Motion  
Mr Guy Churchill, 67 Hardy Road

**“We request that council provide a proper and reasoned response to all motions passed at tonight’s meeting in accordance with best practice and not have the motions simply “noted” at a council meeting without a response.”**



- **Motion to be considered regarding Carrington Street Parking:**

1. Motion

Mr John Smith, 13 Martin Ave, Nedlands

**“Call for restricted parking in Martin Avenue to 2 hours at the Carrington Street end.”**

## 7. Closure

Declaration of Closure

There being no further business, His Worship the Mayor will declare the meeting closed.



## Meeting procedures

1. Electors only may speak except with approval of the person presiding.
2. Only an elector may vote.
3. An elector does not have to vote.
4. When addressing the meeting, a person is to:
  - a) rise and remain standing unless unable to do so by reason of sickness or disability;
  - b) use the public microphone and state his or her name for recording in the minutes;
  - c) address the meeting through the person presiding; and
  - d) remain respectful and not reflect adversely upon elected members or employees.
5. No motion or amendment is open to debate until it has been seconded. Only one amendment on any one motion shall be received at a time and such amendment shall be disposed of before any further amendment can be received; but any number of amendments may be proposed.
6. The mover of a motion (but not the mover of an amendment) has the right of reply, and this closes the debate.
7. An elector may rise and move without discussion, “that the question be now put”, which, on being duly seconded and carried by a majority, will result in submission of the motion at once to the meeting, after the mover has replied.
8. Each elector has one vote.
9. Voting is determined by show of hands or other form of open voting determined by the person presiding.
10. A simple majority carries the vote.
11. The person presiding is to determine questions of order and procedure not stated above but an elector may move a motion of dissent from a ruling of the person presiding, which if seconded, shall be put without discussion.
12. Minutes of this meeting will be available for inspection by members of the public as from Thursday 7 December 2017 and any actions will be considered by the Council at its first meeting in 2018 to be held on Tuesday 20 February 2016.
13. The decisions of this meeting are not binding on the Council, but as required by the *Local Government Act*, the reasons for any Council decision on a resolution of this meeting are to be recorded in the minutes of the council meeting.

## Definition of Elector

An elector is defined in the Local Government Act 1995. An elector is a person who is eligible to vote in an election of the City of Nedlands.